Quantum correlations and Bell's inequality violation in a Heisenberg spin dimer via neutron scattering

C. Cruz[1]

[1]*Instituto de Física, Universidade Federal Fluminense, Av. Gal. Milton Tavares de Souza s/n, 24210-346 Niterói, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil.*

(Dated: October 20, 2016)

The characterization of quantum information quantifiers has attracted a considerable attention of the scientific community, since they are a useful tool to verify the presence of quantum correlations in a quantum system. In this context, in the present work we show a theoretical study of some quantifiers, such as entanglement witness, entanglement of formation, Bell's inequality violation and geometric quantum discord as a function of difractive properties of neutron scattering. We provide one path toward to identify the presence of quantum correlations (and quantum nonlocality) in a molecular magnet as a Heisenberg spin-1/2 dimer, by diffractive properties typically obtained via neutron scattering experiments.

Keywords: Neutron scattering, Quantum correlations, Entanglement production and manipulation, Bell's inequality violation

arXiv:1610.05255v2 [quant-ph] 19 Oct 2016

I. INTRODUCTION

The study of quantum correlations has been object of numerous investigations in the last years, since it is a remarkable resource in quantum information science. In this way, quantum information quantifiers [1–25] are a useful tool to verify the presence of quantum correlations in a quantum system. In spite of that, the detection of quantum correlations is a hard task theoretically and experimentally speaking [13, 15–17, 21, 26–28]. Nowadays, it has become understood that quantum correlations can be quantified through the measurement of some macroscopic properties of magnetic systems [13, 17, 29, 30].

The study of the magnetic properties of molecular materials are typically done approximating magnetic parameters of a Hamiltonian model by the fit of some thermodynamic properties, such as magnetic susceptibility, internal energy and specific heat [17, 31–34]. In this context, correlations functions has a great importance to describe these properties; in addition, it can be directly measurable, e.g., in neutron scattering experiments via *structure factors*. Structure factors can be defined as a two-point correlations [35] and it is widely used to describe the crystal structure of molecular systems ruled by Hamiltonians [35–37], e.g., Heisenberg models [31].

Quantum information quantifiers are expressed in terms of statistical correlation functions [17, 29, 30], due to the fact that these functions are presents on the elements of the density matrix of the quantum system, linking their macroscopic properties with the quantum ones. Therefore, it is possible quantify the presence of quantum correlations in a system via structure factors [13, 35, 38, 39], since these factors are directly associated to the correlation functions; allowing the measurement of quantum information quantifiers by neutron scattering experiments.

In this scenario, the present work shows analytical expressions for the entanglement witness, entanglement of formation, Bell's inequality violation and geometric quantum discord, based on Schatten 1-norm as a function of quantities typically obtained in neutron scattering via scalar structure factor. Our results provide one path toward to identify the presence of quantum correlations (and quantum nonlocality) in a molecular magnet such as a Heisenberg spin-1/2 dimer, by diffractive properties. This is an alternative way to describe the quantum properties of a sample material via neutron scattering experiments, without make any assumption about their macroscopic quantities, leading to promising applications in quantum information science.

II. NEUTRON SCATTERING FOR A HEISENBERG SPIN DIMER

The study of molecular magnetic materials is typically done through the approach of magnetic parameters of a model Hamiltonian, by the fit of some thermodynamic properties, e.g., magnetic susceptibility, internal energy and specific heat [17, 31–34]. For a given Hamiltonian model, one can evaluate the inelastic structure factor, which allows a sensitive test of the assumed model, since their properties are affected by the relative positions of the metallic centers of a sample material [32].

Let us consider a molecular magnet as a interacting pair of spin-1/2 ruled by the Heisenberg-Direc-Van Vleck Hamiltonian,

$$\mathcal{H} = -J\vec{S}_1 \cdot \vec{S}_2 \ . \tag{1}$$

This is an ideal realization of a two qubit system; and therefore, a promising platform in the quantum information processing.

Once Eq. (1) is invariant under spin rotation, the total spin $s = s_1 + s_2$ is a good quantum number [31, 32]. From the Clebsch-Gordon series the spectrum consists in an $s = 1$ triplet and $s = 0$ singlet [31]. Diagonalizing it, we obtain the energy eigenvalues E_s and eigenvectors

$|s, m_s\rangle$ [31, 32]:

$$E_{s=1} = \frac{1}{4}J \tag{2}$$

$$E_{s=0} = -\frac{3}{4}J \tag{3}$$

$$|s=1, m_s=+1\rangle = |00\rangle \tag{4}$$

$$|s=1, m_s=0\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(|01\rangle + |10\rangle\right) \tag{5}$$

$$|s=1, m_s=-1\rangle = |11\rangle \tag{6}$$

$$|s=0, m_s=0\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(|01\rangle + |10\rangle\right) \ . \tag{7}$$

In magnetic neutron scattering, neutrons interact magnetically with the atoms of the target sample. From the Van Hove formalism [40] partial differential cross section of a incident neutron in a magnetic system with initial state $|\psi_i\rangle$ is expressed in terms of time-dependent correlation functions. Thus, the diferential cross section is proportional to the neutron scattering structure factor [13, 38, 39, 41]:

$$\mathcal{S}^{(\alpha,\beta)}(\vec{q},\omega) = \int \frac{dt}{2\pi} \sum_{l,m} e^{i[\omega t + \vec{q}\cdot(\vec{r_l} - \vec{r_m})]} \langle\psi_i| S_l^\alpha S_m^\beta(t) |\psi_i\rangle \tag{8}$$

the sum run over all magnetic sites l and m, which are the l-th and m-th spins with position vectors $\vec{r_l}$ and $\vec{r_m}$, respectively. Furthermore, α,$\beta = x, y, z$; ω is the energy transferred to the magnetic system target; $\vec{q} = \vec{k}_{out} - \vec{k}_{in}$ is the difference between the final and the initial wave vectors (scattering vector); and finally, S_l^α are the spin operators.

Due to the transitions between the discrete energy levels, Eq. (2)-(3), the time integral shown in Eq. (8) gives a delta function $\delta\left(E_f - E_i - \hbar\omega\right)$, where $\hbar\omega$ is the transfer energy [32]. Integrating over the energies, using the eigenvectors, Eq.(4)-(7), we evaluate the inelastic neutron scattering intensities, that is given by structure factors [32] for the Heisenberg spin-1/2 dimer, Eq. (1). Thus we obtain the scalar neutron scattering structure factor as calculated in reference 32:

$$\mathcal{S}(\vec{q}) = \frac{1}{2}\left[1 - cos(\vec{q}\cdot(\vec{r_1} - \vec{r_2}))\right] \tag{9}$$

Thus, given the Hamiltonian model, it is possible to predict their scalar structure factor, which can be compared to the neutron scattering experiments results [32].

III. QUANTUM INFORMATION-THEORETIC QUANTIFIERS IN A HEISENBERG SPIN DIMER

In this section, we will present the analytical expressions for quantum information quantifiers, such as entanglement witness, entanglement of formation, Bell's inequality violation and geometric quantum discord, based on Schatten 1-norm as a function of quantities typically obtained in neutron scattering via scalar structure factor, allowing their measurement via neutron scattering experiments.

A. Spin-Spin Correlation Function

Correlations functions has a great importance in statistical physics and quantum mechanics, allowing to find different properties of a physical system. In addition, it can be directly measurable, e.g., in scattering experiments [30]. Quantum information quantifiers are expressed in terms of statistical correlation functions [17, 29, 30], due to the fact that these functions are presents on the elements of the density matrix of the quantum system, linking their macroscopic properties with the quantum ones.

The density matrix of the Heisenberg spin-1/2 dimer has the Gibbs form, $\rho(T) = e^{-\mathcal{H}/k_B T}/Z$ [17, 29–31], where $Z = \mathrm{Tr}\{e^{-\mathcal{H}/k_B T}\}$ is the partitiion function. Disregarding spontaneous symmetry breaking, the reduced density matrix at sites labelled as 1 and 2 in the computational basis $\{|00\rangle, |01\rangle, |10\rangle, |11\rangle\}$, can be written on the Bell's diagonal mixed state [29, 30] :

$$\rho(12) = \frac{1}{4}\begin{bmatrix} 1+\mathcal{C} & & & \\ & 1-\mathcal{C} & 2\mathcal{C} & \\ & 2\mathcal{C} & 1-\mathcal{C} & \\ & & & 1+\mathcal{C} \end{bmatrix} \tag{10}$$

$$= \frac{1}{4}(1 + \mathcal{C}\vec{S}_1 \otimes \vec{S}_2) \tag{11}$$

where, $\mathcal{C} = \langle S_1^x S_2^x\rangle = \langle S_1^y S_2^y\rangle = \langle S_1^z S_2^z\rangle$ is the Heisenberg dimer spin-spin correlation function, that can be written as a function of the structure factor presented in Eq.(9),

$$\mathcal{C} = e^{-i\vec{q}(\vec{r_1} - \vec{r_2})}\mathcal{S}(\vec{q})$$
$$= \frac{e^{-i\vec{q}(\vec{r_1} - \vec{r_2})}}{2}\left[1 - cos(\vec{q}\cdot(\vec{r_1} - \vec{r_2}))\right] \ , \tag{12}$$

this last ranges from $-1 \leq \mathcal{C} \leq 0$ for antiparallel magnetic alignment ($J < 0$ - entangled ground state) and $0 < \mathcal{C} \leq 1/3$ for parallel magnetic alignment ($J > 0$ - separable ground state)[17, 29, 30].

The spin-spin correlation function, Eq. (12), is depicted in Fig. (1) as a function of the scattering vector $\vec{q}$ times the distance between the metallic centers of a sample material $\vec{r_1} - \vec{r_2}$, that can be obtained by neutron scattering experiments. It is worth noting that, the location of the zero point correlation can provide a convenient estimate for which distances the system can be found in an antiparallel magnetic alignment ($\pi q^{-1}/2 \leq r_1 - r_2 \leq 3\pi q^{-1}/2$) or a parallel magnetic alignment ($0 < r_1 - r_2 < \pi q^{-1}/2$ and $3\pi q^{-1}/2 < r_1 - r_2 < 2\pi q^{-1}$), without any assumption about macroscopic quantities, such as the temperature, magnetic field, magnetic susceptibility, internal energy or specific heat. This result has a great importance to guide us through the study



Figure 1. Spin-spin correlation as a function of $\vec{q}\cdot(\vec{r_1}-\vec{r_2})$, Eq.(12). The dashed (green) horizontal line separates the regions with antiparallel magnetic alignment ($\pi/2 \leq \vec{q}\cdot(r_1-r_2) \leq 3\pi/2$) and parallel magnetic alignment ($0 < \vec{q}\cdot(r_1-r_2) < \pi/2$ and $3\pi/2 < \vec{q}\cdot(r_1-r_2) < 2\pi$).



Figure 2. Entanglement witness of a Heisenberg spin dimer, Eq.(14). The dashed (green) horizontal line separates the region where the witness has a negative expectation value, i.e., the system is in an entangled quantum state $2.45 < \vec{q}\cdot(\vec{r_1}-\vec{r_2}) < 3.85$.

of the quantum information quantifiers in a Heisenberg spin dimer, since these quantifiers depend directly of the spin-spin correlation function.

B. Entanglement Witness

The detection of entanglement is usually done using an observable which identifies the presence of entanglement in a quantum system [2–5]. This observable, so called *entanglement witness* ($\mathcal{W}$), has a negative expectation value whether the system is in an entangled quantum state ($\mathcal{W} < 0$) and positive otherwise. However, the positive expectation value does not implies the presence of separable quantum states. As calculated in the reference 4, the entanglement witness can be calculated in terms of thermodynamic observables as magnetic susceptibility:

$$\mathbb{W} = \frac{3k_B T\bar{\chi}}{(g\mu_B)^2 NS} - 1 \tag{13}$$

where N is the number of magnetic ions with spins-S, k_B is the Boltzmann constant, mu_B is Bohr magneton, g is the Land factor and $\bar{\chi}$ is the average of the magnetic susceptibility.

In reference 8, the magnetic susceptibility of antiferromagnetic spin- 1/2 chain is compared to the correlation function measured by neutron diffraction [42]. Thus, we can calculated analytically the entanglement witness, Eq.(13), for a molecular magnet, such as a Heisenberg spin-1/2 dimer, in terms of their scalar structure factor

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{W} &= 2 + 3e^{-i\vec{q}(\vec{r_1}-\vec{r_2})}\mathcal{S}(\vec{q}) \\ &= 2 + \frac{3e^{-i\vec{q}(\vec{r_1}-\vec{r_2})}}{2}\left[1 - cos(\vec{q}\cdot(\vec{r_1}-\vec{r_2}))\right]\end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

In Fig. 2, we show the entanglement witness for a Heisenberg spin dimer, Eq. (14), as a function of $\vec{q}\cdot(r_1-r_2)$. The witness has a negative expectation at $2.45 < \vec{q}\cdot(\vec{r_1}-\vec{r_2}) < 3.85$, revealing the presence of entangled states. This result is compatible to the last ones, since in this band the system is found in an antiparallel magnetic alignment (entangled ground state), as can be seen in Fig. 1. Thus, we provide one way to identify the presence of entanglement in a molecular magnet, such as a Heisenberg spin dimer, by diffractive properties obtained via neutron scattering experiments, without make any assumption about their macroscopic quantities.

C. Entanglement of Formation

In order to quantify the amount of entanglement in the Heisenberg spin-1/2 dimer and make a comparison with the entanglement witness, we will adopt the measurement of *entanglement of formation* defined by [6, 7]:

$$\mathbb{E} = -\Gamma_+ \log_2(\Gamma_+) - \Gamma_- \log_2(\Gamma_-) \tag{15}$$

with

$$\Gamma_\pm = \frac{1 \pm \sqrt{1-\mathbb{C}^2}}{2} \tag{16}$$

where $\mathbb{C}$ is the concurrence [1, 2, 6, 7]. The concurrence can be written as a function of the scalar structure factor, Eq.(9), in terms of spin-spin correlation function, Eq.(12), as follows:

$$\mathbb{C} = \max\left[0, -\frac{1}{2}\left(1 + 3e^{-i\vec{q}(\vec{r_1}-\vec{r_2})}\mathcal{S}(\vec{q})\right)\right] \tag{17}$$

The equation above shows that the concurrence of a Heisenberg spin-1/2 dimer is also related with diffractive properties, which can be obtained by neutron scattering experiments.



(a)



(b)

Figure 3. (a) Entanglement of formation as a function of $\vec{q}\cdot(\vec{r_1}-\vec{r_2})$, Eq.(15). The dashed (green) vertical line highlights the maximum of entanglement, where the system is in an antiparallel magnetic alignment with an entangled pure state. (b) We make a comparison between the entanglement of formation and the entanglement witness. The dashed (green) horizontal line separates the region where the witness has a negative expectation value, i.e., the system is necessarily in an entangled quantum state

In sequence, we shown in Fig. 3 (a) the entanglement of formation as a function of the scattering vector $\vec{q}$ times the distance between the metallic centers $\vec{r_1}-\vec{r_2}$ of a Heisenberg spin dimer, Eq.(15). It is possible to identify a maximum of entanglement at $r_1-r_2=\pi q^{-1}$, where the system is found in an antiparallel magnetic alignment with an entangled pure state. It is worth to note that, there is entangled states at $2.0 \lesssim \vec{q}\cdot(r_1-r_2) \lesssim 4.2$, above the band where the entanglement is identified on the entanglement witness. In Fig. 3 (b), we make a comparison between the entanglement of formation and the entanglement witness. As can be seen, the positive expectation value of the witness, Eq. (14), separated by the dashed (green) line, does not implies separability. However, the negative expectation value necessarily implies the presence of entangled quantum states in the system.

D. Bell's Inequality Violation

Bell's inequality violation [9] has a important role in the quantum information theory, as a necessary and sufficient condition for the usefulness of quantum states in communication complexity of protocols [43]. For a Heisenberg spin-1/2 dimer, the Bell's inequality test [5, 10] are related to the measurement of the Bell operator [5]

$$\mathbb{B}=\vec{n_1}\cdot\vec{S}\otimes\left(\vec{n_2}\cdot\vec{S}-\vec{n_4}\cdot\vec{S}\right)+\vec{n_3}\cdot\vec{S}\otimes\left(\vec{n_2}\cdot\vec{S}+\vec{n_4}\cdot\vec{S}\right) \tag{18}$$

where $\vec{n_1}\cdot\vec{S}$ is the projection of the spin on the direction n.

Using the set of directions $\{\vec{n_1},\vec{n_2},\vec{n_3},\vec{n_4}\} = \{(0,0,1),(-1,0,-1)/\sqrt{2},(-1,0,0),(-1,0,1)/\sqrt{2}\}$, the Eq. (18) becomes $\mathbb{B}=\sqrt{2}\,(S_x\otimes S_x+S_z\otimes S_z)$. From the density matrix, given by Eq.11, we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned}|\langle\mathbb{B}\rangle| &= 2\sqrt{2}|\mathcal{S}(\vec{q})| \\ &= 2\sqrt{2}\,|1-cos(\vec{q}\cdot(\vec{r_1}-\vec{r_2}))|\end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

Thus, from Eq.(19), it is possible to verify whether there is Bell's inequality violation via neutron scattering experiments.



Figure 4. Mean value of Bell operator as a function of $\vec{q}\cdot(\vec{r_1}-\vec{r_2})$ (Eq.(19)). The dashed (green) horizontal line separates the region where the Heisenberg dimer violates the Bell's inequality

In Fig. 4, we shown the mean value of Bell operator as a function of the scattering vector $\vec{q}$ times the distance between the metallic centers $\vec{r_1} - \vec{r_2}$ of a target sample of neutron scattering, Eq.(19). As can be seen, the Bell's inequality is violated in $2.0 \lesssim \vec{q}\cdot(r_1 - r_2) \lesssim 4.2$ where there is a maximum violation in $r_1 - r_2 = \pi q^{-1}$, when the system is in an entangled pure state, see Fig. 3 (a). It is compatible to the previous result obtained from the entanglement of formation, where we found entangled quantum states at the same band. Therefore, it is possible to verify quantum nonlocality in a Heisenberg spin dimer by difractive properties obtained in neutron scattering experiments.

E. Geometric Quantum Discord

Despite quantum entanglement provides one path toward to find pure quantum correlations, it does not encompass all quantum correlations in a system. The measurement of the total amount of quantum correlations has been called *quantum discord* [11–23].

The calculation of quantum discord is a rather complicated task even for two-qubit system, such as a Heisenberg spin-1/2 dimer [17, 19]. This fact has stimulated alternative measurements of quantum information-theoretical quantifiers as the *geometric quantum discord* [17]. In this context, the geometric quantum discord, based on Schatten 1-norm, is a one path toward to achieve a well-defined measurement of quantum correlations in a quantum system [17] and it can be defined as

$$\mathbb{Q}_G(\rho) = \min_{\omega} \|\rho - \rho_c\| \tag{20}$$

where $\|X\| = \mathrm{Tr}\left[\sqrt{X^\dagger X}\right]$ is the 1-norm, ρ is a given quantum state and ω is the set of closest classical-quantum states ρ_c [17, 19, 20], whose general form is given by:

$$\rho_c = \sum_k p_k \Pi_k^{\{1\}} \otimes \rho_k^{\{2\}} \tag{21}$$

with $0 \leq pk \leq 1$ and $\sum_k p_k = 1$; $\{\Pi_k^{\{1\}}\}$ denotes a set of orthogonal projectors for subsystem a, and $\rho_k^{\{2\}}$ is a general reduced density operator for the subsystem 2 [19, 20].

Thus, for a Heisenberg spin dimer, the geometric quantum discord, based on Schatten 1-norm, is written as a function of the scalar structure factor as:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{Q}_G(\mathcal{S}) &= \left|\frac{1}{4}\mathcal{S}(\vec{q})\right| \\ &= \left|\frac{1 - cos(\vec{q}\cdot(\vec{r_1} - \vec{r_2}))}{4}\right| .\end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

Fig. 5 (a) shows the geometric quantum discord as a function of the scattering vector $\vec{q}$ times the distance between the metallic centers $\vec{r_1} - \vec{r_2}$ of a sample material,



(a)



(b)

Figure 5. (a) Geometric quantum discord as a function of $\vec{q}\cdot(\vec{r_1} - \vec{r_2})$. Dashed (green) vertical line highlights the point where the system is in an antiparallel magnetic alignment with an entangled pure state. (b) We make a comparison between the geometric quantum discord and the entanglement of formation, it is possible to identify presence of quantum correlations when the entanglement is absent and even when the system is found in a parallel magnetic alignment with a separable quantum state.

Eq.(15). We identify a maximum of quantum correlation at $r_1 - r_2 = \pi q^{-1}$, this is compatible to the previous results, where at this point the system is found in entangled pure state, see Fig. 3 (a). The Fig. 5 (b) makes a comparison between the geometric quantum discord and the entanglement of formation. As can be seen, it is possible to identify presence of quantum correlations when the entanglement is absent and even when the system is found in a parallel magnetic alignment with a separable quantum state ($0 < \vec{q}\cdot(r_1 - r_2) < \pi/2$ and $3\pi/2 < \vec{q}\cdot(r_1 - r_2) < 2\pi$), see Fig. 1; furthermore, the points of zero discord coincides with the points of

zero correlation ($\pi/2$ and $3\pi/2$), indicating the absence of magnetic interaction ($J = 0$) between the magnetic ions.

Therefore, we provide one way to find the geometric quantum correlations in a Heisenberg spin dimer, by diffractive properties obtained via neutron scattering experiments, without make any assumption about their macroscopic quantities.

IV. CONCLUSIONS

In summary, our main result was to provide to the literature analytical expressions for quantum information quantifiers, such as entanglement witness, entanglement of formation, Bell's inequality violation and geometric quantum discord as a function of quantities typically obtained in neutron scattering via scalar structure factor. We provide one path toward to identify the presence of quantum correlations (and quantum nonlocality) in a two-qubit system as a Heisenberg spin-1/2 dimer, using diffractive properties without make any assumption about their macroscopic quantities. We present an alternative way to describe the quantum properties of a sample material via neutron scattering experiments. Our results opens doors for the detection and manipulation of quantum correlations through neutron scattering experiments in magnetic systems as molecular magnets ruled by Heisenberg Hamiltonians; leading to promising applications in quantum information science, since these materials can be promising platforms in quantum information processing.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The author would like to thank D. O. Soares-Pinto and M. S. Reis for helpful comments, and specially, E. E. M. Lima for the computational help. This work was supported by Brazilian funding agencies CNPq, CAPES and FAPERJ.

[1] M. A. Nielsen and I. L. Chuang, *Quantum computation and quantum information* (Cambridge University Press, 2010).
[2] R. Horodecki, P. Horodecki, M. Horodecki, and K. Horodecki, Reviews of modern physics **81**, 865 (2009).
[3] M. Horodecki, P. Horodecki, and R. Horodecki, Physics Letters A **223**, 1 (1996).
[4] M. Wieśniak, V. Vedral, and Č. Brukner, New Journal of Physics **7**, 258 (2005).
[5] A. Souza, D. Soares-Pinto, R. Sarthour, I. Oliveira, M. S. Reis, P. Brandao, and A. Dos Santos, Physical Review B **79**, 054408 (2009).
[6] W. K. Wootters, Physical Review Letters **80**, 2245 (1998).
[7] S. Hill and W. K. Wootters, Physical Review Letters **78**, 5022 (1997).
[8] Č. Brukner, V. Vedral, and A. Zeilinger, Physical Review A **73**, 012110 (2006).
[9] L. J. Landau, Physics Letters A **120**, 54 (1987).
[10] A. Souza, A. Magalhães, J. Teles, T. Bonagamba, I. Oliveira, R. Sarthour, *et al.*, New Journal of Physics **10**, 033020 (2008).
[11] H. Ollivier and W. H. Zurek, Physical Review Letters **88**, 017901 (2001).
[12] V. Vedral, Physical Review Letters **90**, 050401 (2003).
[13] B.-Q. Liu, L.-A. Wu, G.-M. Zeng, J.-M. Song, W. Luo, Y. Lei, G.-A. Sun, B. Chen, and S.-M. Peng, Physics Letters A **378**, 3441 (2014).
[14] Z. Ma, Z. Chen, F. F. Fanchini, and S.-M. Fei, Scientific Reports **5** (2015).
[15] D. Girolami and G. Adesso, Physical Review A **83**, 052108 (2011).
[16] T. Nakano, M. Piani, and G. Adesso, Physical Review A **88**, 012117 (2013).
[17] C. Cruz, D. O. Soares-Pinto, P. Brando, A. M. dos Santos, and M. S. Reis, EPL (Europhysics Letters) **113**, 40004 (2016).
[18] M. Sarandy, Physical Review A **80**, 022108 (2009).
[19] F. Paula, T. R. de Oliveira, and M. Sarandy, Physical Review A **87**, 064101 (2013).
[20] J. Montealegre, F. Paula, A. Saguia, and M. Sarandy, Physical Review A **87**, 042115 (2013).
[21] S. Luo, Physical Review A **77**, 042303 (2008).
[22] A. Datta, A. Shaji, and C. M. Caves, Physical Review Letters **100**, 050502 (2008).
[23] L. Henderson and V. Vedral, Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and General **34**, 6899 (2001).
[24] Y. Huang, Physical review a **88**, 014302 (2013).
[25] Y. Huang, Physical review b **89**, 054410 (2014).
[26] D. Girolami, T. Tufarelli, and G. Adesso, Physical review letters **110**, 240402 (2013).
[27] D. Girolami, A. M. Souza, V. Giovannetti, T. Tufarelli, J. G. Filgueiras, R. S. Sarthour, D. O. Soares-Pinto, I. S. Oliveira, and G. Adesso, Physical Review Letters **112**, 210401 (2014).
[28] Y. Huang, New journal of physics **16**, 033027 (2014).
[29] M. A. Yurishchev, Physical Review B **84**, 024418 (2011).
[30] S. Aldoshin, E. Fel'dman, and M. Yurishchev, Low Temperature Physics **40**, 3 (2014).
[31] M. Reis, *Fundamentals of magnetism* (Elsevier, 2013).
[32] J. Haraldsen, T. Barnes, and J. Musfeldt, Physical Review B **71**, 064403 (2005).
[33] B. Leite Ferreira, P. Brandão, A. Dos Santos, Z. Gai, C. Cruz, M. Reis, T. Santos, and V. Félix, Journal of Coordination Chemistry **68**, 2770 (2015).
[34] D. Esteves, J. Tedesco, S. Pedro, C. Cruz, M. Reis, and P. Brandao, Materials Chemistry and Physics **147**, 611 (2014).
[35] P. Krammer, H. Kampermann, D. Bruß, R. A. Bertlmann, L. C. Kwek, and C. Macchiavello, Physical review letters **103**, 100502 (2009).

[36] D. Tennant, C. Broholm, D. Reich, S. Nagler, G. Granroth, T. Barnes, K. Damle, G. Xu, Y. Chen, and B. Sales, Physical Review B **67**, 054414 (2003).
[37] T. Huberman, R. Coldea, R. Cowley, D. Tennant, R. Leheny, R. J. Christianson, and C. Frost, Physical Review B **72**, 014413 (2005).
[38] M. Cramer, M. Plenio, and H. Wunderlich, Physical review letters **106**, 020401 (2011).
[39] O. Marty, M. Epping, H. Kampermann, D. Bruß, M. Plenio, and M. Cramer, Physical Review B **89**, 125117 (2014).
[40] L. Van Hove, Phys. Rev. **95**, 249 (1954).
[41] I. A. Zaliznyak and S.-H. Lee, Modern Techniques for Characterizing Magnetic Materials, Springer, Heidelberg (2005).
[42] D. Soares-Pinto, A. Souza, R. Sarthour, I. Oliveira, M. Reis, P. Brandao, J. Rocha, and A. Dos Santos, EPL (Europhysics Letters) **87**, 40008 (2009).
[43] Č. Brukner, M. Żukowski, J.-W. Pan, and A. Zeilinger, Physical review letters **92**, 127901 (2004).